INTERNATIONAL CHESS GAMES

Representative from Columbia, Harvard, Princeton and Pennsylvania in the Houston Club Play by Cable.

The International Collegiate Chess Championship matches, which were held at Philadelphia, New Haven, and Buffalo, showed a decided advantage for the representatives of the American colleges. So far as the games, which have been carried on by cable with the players of Harvard and Princeton, the latter team has emerged as the victor in two games, one drawn and one lost. This makes it 1.1 for 2.1. The final result still hinges on the decision of the third game, in which the most favorable position in the two games that were unfinished, Harvard was placed. The remaining game, which some think would occur this week, after a careful analysis of the first two games did not occur, was the game in which the projectile was present in the audience at the close of the round. The battle between the two clubs will be decided by further play between the two clubs, which will be held tonight, April 7th.

In the final round, the University of Pennsylva
nia played against the Yale University. The Yale team, comprising a number of professional players, was outmatched by the Harvard team. The final score was 3-0 in favor of Harvard. The game was played in the auditorium of the Harvard University and the Philadelphia Athletic Club.

---

PHILADELPHIA, MARCH 23, 1908

PRICE, TWO CENTS

UNIVERSITY NOTICES.

Sophomore Class—There will be a meeting of the Sophomore Class to-morrow at 3 o'clock in the Cercle Francais.

Maryland Club—Meeting of the Maryland Club Thursday evening, at 11:30 o'clock, in Houston Hall.

Elections—Agitation—To-norrow:—The class will propose an analysis of Details on United States: 132, 18, 9, and on various other topics. The debate will be held on Thursday.

Camera Club—Important meeting of the Camera Club will be held tonight at Houston Hall. The lecture will be given by a prominent photographer. The meeting will be held at 8:00 o'clock.
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MONDAY, MARCH 23, 1908.

NEWS EDITOR OF TO-DAY’S ISSUE:

DOUGLAS MACFARLAN.

“ar Freshman” need not have signed his letter to indicate to what class he belongs; it is a pity that the insignificant element which he represents does not realize the mad visibleness of rushing into print with arguments that can find no sympathy from any undergraduate at Pennsylvania outside the Freshman Class and not even of the leading and most reasonable men in that class. His letter very well represents, both in its unreasoned tone of discourtesy for everybody but himselfs and in its insinuated statements, a body of boys who vote contemptuously against a good measure on personal grounds.

It matters not whether or not a typical Freshman correspondent represented our views of sin. Here are quotations from true Pennsylvanians and if they are not by his also by this time, he has been it trained by the Sophomore Class. If, as he says, freshmen are as good students as upper classmen, it is a pity that they are obliged to take any thesaurus work in college or to charge their point of view by systematic study. They should be except at once from such work of this kind.

The University almost to a man condemns the attitude on the honor system in elections taken by the Freshmen, who defeated it in that class by only ten votes. If our correspondent will examine facts he will discover what, being a Freshman, he does not know, namely, that his intangible charges against the Senior Class originated in a city newspaper and upon mature investigation, proved to be absolutely false. If he cares to satisfy himself further upon this matter we can easily put him in the way of obtaining the true evidence.

The tone of this letter shows that “A Freshman,” like many others in his class, has not yet become imbued with University spirit or he would not have referred to the Seniors and Juniors as outsiders. They are men whose views on college matters are worth fair prey to Freshmen that their own, not because they are two or three years older alone, but because their training as college men has equipped them in the field of view and given them a knowledge which only six months cannot give. A Freshman with four years still before him is unqualified to express an opinion upon the wisdom of such a thing as the honor system in elections.

The very fact that some Freshmen voted against what they believed a good thing, merely because they were displeased with the upper classmen who had tried to persuade them, is proof enough of their childishness in most matters. They will later learn to look into questions on the merits of those questions without regard to personalities. Most assuredly an apology to the upper classmen is due from our correspondent and others like him. Their conduct was and always will be a blemish upon their class. It proved conclusively that the truths which they heard from older fellow-students, whom they term outsiders, should have been taken to heart and given thought and not condition. Until the Freshman Class, some of whose members showed such lack of restraint, apologize as a body to the Presidents of the Senior and Junior Classes, they need expect no more publicity or notice for their views whatever these may happen to be.

COMMUNICATION.

To the Editor of The Pennsylvania.

Dear Sir: In your editorial of last Friday in regard to the action of the Freshman Class in refusing to adopt the honor system there appeared several statements which call for a reply.

I am myself a believer in the advantage of an honor system, but I am sorry to say that I cannot endorse the views which they maintained in regard to the attitude of the Class of 1911 toward it. You tell us that the under-graduate committee had decided in favor of the system and that the failure of our class to support it was a reflection on the University. Allow me to say that your description that a “Freshman’s” attitude may be represented as being less hearty than truth; and if the undergraduate committee believes in it, I rejoice that they met defeat in this matter. So do I of men, no matter how vast or chieftain in this connection, how slight their experience, has the right to do a thing so new and untried as the honor system upon another body of men. When it comes to theorizing we are the equals of the upper-classmen; and what is this honor system but theorizing? Though, as I have said, I am in favor of it, from certain information before me I am
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