Walnut St. Plan Study Finds Effects Minimal

By LARRY FIELD

A comprehensive land-use and Urban Development (HUD) study designed to determine the extent of impact on the existing community proposed by the University for the city of Walnut Street would have "no significant environmental impact." The study, conducted by the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Advisory Group, found that the project would have "no adverse environmental impacts." The study concluded that the project would not cause "more or new and quantifiable pollution" that the increase would be "minimally or in terms of intensity of land use what has already existed." In addition, HUD concluded that the project would not "cause significant" sewer, water, recreational or educational impacts.

The study rejected the imminent creation of additional parking spaces in the area, which would affect the "major environmental impact." The study concluded that the project would not "cause significant" sewer, water, recreational or educational impacts. However, it was considered to be in the interest of the plan and not in the interest of the study. The study also supported the possibility of locating the campus at the site, which would be in the interest of the plan and not in the interest of the study. The planning department of the state will be rewarded and will receive helpful and useful advice for the state and area because it will be supported by the planning department of the state and area.

John A. Andrus, chairman of the planning department of the state, said: "It is important that the planners in the planning department of the state and area be supported by the planning department of the state and area in order to support the planning department of the state and area. The planning department of the state and area is not only essential to the planning department of the state and area but is essential to the planning department of the state and area."
DAVE BERG
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A Guide to Campus Cinema
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6:00 P.M. Int'l House, 709 Chestnut St.

In recent years, the University of Pennsylvania has produced a wave of powerful and thought-provoking films, and the campus cinema continues to be a mainstay in the University of Pennsylvania's cultural life. Director Jeffrey Joseph will discuss the latest in Penn's lineup.

A New Spin on the Assassination
November 13
6:00 P.M. Vagelos Hall, 210 S. 39th St.

Join Blackstone Guides as we explore a new spin on the assassination of President Kennedy. We will examine the Zapruder film and discuss the evidence presented in the Warren Commission Report.

The Role of Women in the Movies
November 13
6:00 P.M. 100 Lash Lotz, 33rd Street and Chestnut Street


The Life and Times of Marilyn Monroe
November 13
6:00 P.M. 300 S. 39th St., 3rd Floor

Join us for a screening of the documentary "The Life and Times of Marilyn Monroe," which explores the life and legacy of the iconic actress.

The Assassination of President Kennedy
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Join us for a discussion on the assassination of President Kennedy with history experts and filmmakers. We will examine the Zapruder film and discuss the evidence presented in the Warren Commission Report.
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The Law of Labels

By Steve Stocklow

The proposal to change the school's name to Benjamin Franklin University of Pennsylvania has been in the news, and much has been said for and against it. However, there are few who advocate it from a purely logical stance. As a student of the University of Pennsylvania, I would like to offer a few thoughts on the matter.

The name of the university is a source of pride for many students. It is a symbol of the university's long history and tradition of excellence. However, the name also reflects the university's origins as a Quaker institution. This has led to some criticism, particularly from those who believe that the university should be more open to diversity and inclusion.

The debate over the name of the university is not a new one. It has been going on for many years, and it is unlikely to be resolved anytime soon. However, it is important to consider the potential consequences of changing the name.

On the one hand, changing the name could help to shed some light on the university's past. It could help to clarify the university's origins and its relationship with the Quakers. On the other hand, changing the name could also result in a loss of tradition and a sense of identity.

Ultimately, the decision to change the name of the university should be made with careful consideration of all factors. It should be based on a thorough understanding of the university's history and its relationship with the Quakers. It should also take into account the wishes of the students, faculty, and staff who have a stake in the university.

In conclusion, while the debate over the name of the university is unlikely to be resolved anytime soon, it is important to approach the issue with a sense of fairness and respect. The University of Pennsylvania has a rich history and a unique identity, and it is important to consider the potential consequences of changing the name before making a decision.

I hope that my thoughts on this matter have been helpful. I am open to hearing any other perspectives on this issue.

Steve Stocklow
Linguistics

(Continued from previous page)

avoid home characteristics, things that mark you as belonging to a particular group," he said of students. "In learning to make your place in the educated community, there are subtle pressures to adapt. We have examined some of these situations, including those of social communication-whether to the most prevalent or the least." Students also have examined the formality of situations as a variable, according to the students. In the students' papers, the students said that lifting of the oil embargo by the Middle East moves.
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Bootees Meet State Again in NCAA Regional Finals

By BETTY HOLLAND

If you think you've been there, you probably have. For the third consecutive year, Penn (32-0) and Penn State (14-3) are in the Final Four of the NCAA tournament (broadcasted by WSNP, 980 AM). Each team has won once (the Quakers last year, 2-0, the Nittany Lions the year before, 3-1), and the regular season meeting was a last-place finish for the right.

This time around the fire was fed by rival coaches Dick Bohn and Herb Schanfield. Having played three games last season, Schanfield won the game decided until the last minute, giving his team time to prepare and recover from an accumulation of bruises and strain, as well as allowing for some much-needed rest. Schanfield said that the game played Tuesday was the same game played against each other from club ball - a sphere of balls and a surface of pure stone. The Quakers started out cold, but Schanfield said that they put their suddenly powerful power play to work. Schanfield, who put back Penn's last goal, said, "We've had them on the run for the last 10 minutes, and we've been particularly strong in the last five minutes of the game."

That goal was more important than what it did to the score. "After Brian scored, everyone got excited," center Berman (11-2) said. "That propelled us, and we were able to take it to the end."

The Quakers lost their quick lead on a 39-second, two-goal flurry by St. Lawrence to clear the path for the team's victory. First Brian Bennett scored off a scramble in front of the net, and then Bob Hinkle put the Quakers ahead with a perfect slap shot of St. Lawrence goalie David St.随后, the Quakers wasted their sudden power play to work. Schanfield, who put back Penn's last goal, said, "We've had them on the run for the last 10 minutes, and we've been particularly strong in the last five minutes of the game."
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