Contract Accord Ends 45-Day Strike

Settlement a Defeat For Union Solidarity

BY LARRY FIELD AND ALFRED H. CAMPBELL

This weekend’s settlement of the University’s longest labor dispute paralyzed the day, but left many fighting men with no work to be done. The agreement that ended the 45-day strike was an ignominious one, a one-sided victory for management, a defeat for the union, and a blow against the solidarity of campus workers.

The strike began at the end of April when the University’s Local 835 and maintenance workers’ union abandoned the 1974-75 contract and locked out the strikers. The union had been dealing with the University for 60 years, and this was the first time in that period that it had refused to accept an agreement. The strike lasted for 45 days, and during that time, the University management and the union bargaining committee met to work out a new contract.

The agreement that was reached on August 1st was a one-sided victory for management. The University agreed to a six percent wage increase for the first year, a two percent increase for the second year, and a three percent increase for the third year. The agreement also stipulates that the University will not prosecute union members who voted to approve the pact. The agreement calls for a six percent wage increase January 1, another six percent increase July 1, and a five percent increase January 1 of the following year.
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CAMPUS EVENTS

MISSING

(Continued from page 1)

That indicates to me,” said Freuden, “that he didn’t just take off. I don’t know what to think.”

“I don’t think they’re worried about him,” he said.

Everyday, an International House guard checks Freuden’s room to see if he has come back. On the door is a note

Freuden wrote over three weeks ago,

telling Lee to call his employer, who has once hired a someone close to fill his job.

“We’ve a lot to alert Korean students or anyone who might know of any of his whereabouts to let us know,”

Kaymer, Director of Administration for International House, said Monday. Last week, Wager called the office

of a national Korean newsletter based

in Philadelphia and asked them to notify Lee’s disappearance. “We don’t know if he’s disappeared.”

I thought they’re not helping much,” said she. “We don’t know who is to blame.

The Daily Pennsylvanian
The Daily Pennsylvania

Union Defeat
(Continued from page 1)

The five campus unions must have technical workers into the union fold, and workers, is the largest local on campus. Apparently the time was not right — or straightened out of strength has come about in the past several weeks. In the midst of a real labor conflict, all promise of union solidarity was dashed.

Cancer
(Continued from page 1)

They share responsibilities and have administrative linkages, although they are independently funded. The cooperative center also works closely with Children's Hospital and the Water Institute.
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And the Winner is...

By Larry Field

It's all over, a bitter, 6-day strike which made everyone's life miserable.

Now it's count winners and losers. Well, the free striking unions lost. They lost bad. University officials countrified labor hours and really hurt the kids. They lost bad, but not too bad. Curiously, the administrators and Frank褊 Building negotiators managed to come to an agreement within the salary guideline set by President Martin seven weeks ago. The only thing they conceded was a 98% salary increase, not in 1975. The Zionism Rally: Why It Failed

By Aliza Arzt and Sam Zarembo

On Thursday, November 20, a rally entitled "The Zionism Rally: Why It Failed" was held on the University campus. The rally was organized by Students for Justice in Palestine, a group that has been active on campus for several years.

The rally was a response to the ongoing conflict between Jews and Palestinians in the Middle East. The organizers aimed to raise awareness of the issues and to encourage dialogue between students.

The rally featured speakers from various organizations, including Students for Justice in Palestine, Students for a Democratic Society, and the American Jewish Committee. The speakers addressed the history of Zionism, the relationship between Jews and Palestinians, and the role of the United Nations in the conflict.

The rally attracted a large number of attendees, including both students and faculty. The attendees were encouraged to ask questions and engage in discussion with the speakers.

The event was well-received by attendees, who expressed interest in learning more about the issues and in continuing the dialogue.

Redevelopment of 34th and Walnut

How to fill those wide open spaces

By David Ganz

WARNING: What you are about to read is based on incomplete information. The reading of the material below will only be held against you, wherever you are, on the check, or on the bond.

The Zionism Rally is an event that took place on the University's campus. The rally was organized by Students for Justice in Palestine, a group that has been active on campus for several years.

The rally was a response to the ongoing conflict between Jews and Palestinians in the Middle East. The organizers aimed to raise awareness of the issues and to encourage dialogue between students.

The rally featured speakers from various organizations, including Students for Justice in Palestine, Students for a Democratic Society, and the American Jewish Committee. The speakers addressed the history of Zionism, the relationship between Jews and Palestinians, and the role of the United Nations in the conflict.

The rally attracted a large number of attendees, including both students and faculty. The attendees were encouraged to ask questions and engage in discussion with the speakers.

The event was well-received by attendees, who expressed interest in learning more about the issues and in continuing the dialogue.

Please note: This is a fictional event and any resemblance to real events or individuals is purely coincidental.
**Wrestlers Fight Odds**

(Continued from page 1)

Jay Finney (134), who will be returning to take on Engle. Finney compiled an 18-1 record in ’75 when he won the 150-pound title and added 16-0-1 record en route to the national title. He admitted the Bicentennial has “been a growth.” He also expressed the problems that the Quakers will have to deal with this year. “I think we’ll be able to compete.”

Gesner is looking for great improvement from Max Desilets (150) and Ken Deremer (190). The numbers at 126 and 142 are still up in the air. Engle is pitted against Tim Monday the program could become “just a warehouse where people can be just a crash program to get into retirement center” for 330 other hospitalization,” and a type of “just a crash program to get into retirement center” for 330 other

**Swimming**

(Continued from page 1)

Adams touched, running away with the event in a quick 52.8 second. Wolf tied Doyle for second place. (Continued from page 1)

Gays At Penn presents Shanan Morgan’s Play, "The Gay's And Gay's Men’s Theater, in Slyn Mourning Tuesday, December 2nd 8:30 PM

Following The Performance There Will Be An Informal Discussion With The Director And Members Of The Cast

Chrisian Audition Auditorium

Admission: $1.00

**Alcoholics**

(Continued from page 1)

The building, Shandler explained, would be “a temporary type of program,” designed for people who “need treatment—but not hospitalization,” and a type of “rehabilitation center.” It would not serve as a treatment center in the classic sense, he added.

Anticipated Professor of Social Work at Temple University, who has worked extensively in the field, said he is certain that the program could become “a permanent fixture” and that it will be successful.

The last few years have not been kind to the Quakers. Its 12-6 record of last year appears to be a perfect match for the formidable field. Wolf, however, did place third at the event, with fellow freshmen I.ew Wallace (126) and Alan Wolff (142), described by Cornell’s Crist as “a perfect match for the Quakers.”

Quakers Kevin Doyle and Jeff Wolf, Penn squad probably wished that time to reality and the reality wasn’t as pleasant. Wolf, however, did place second in the event. Both of the Quakers would don his trunks just one more time to reality and the reality wasn’t as pleasant. Wolf, however, did place second in the event. Both of the Quakers would don his trunks just one more time to reality and the reality wasn’t as pleasant. Wolf, however, did place second in the event. Both of the Quakers would don his trunks just one more time

One year, he said, the early stages of planning, Shandler said he knew we’ll probably get our asses kicked, but cared more about the plan.

**Research**

Adams touched, running away with the event in a quick 52.8 second. Wolf tied Doyle for second place. (Continued from page 1)

Adams touched, running away with the event in a quick 52.8 second. Wolf tied Doyle for second place.
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**Icemen Reach for Eli Relief After Utica Tourney Malaise**

By DAVID UNGER

Lightning didn't strike twice for the Nassau (N.Y.) Daily News this past Thursday and Friday. In fact, all it did was pour—pouring goals, that is.

For a main leading to repetitious news for this year-long four-team tournament championship, this time in Utica, N.Y., this weekend, the Nassau Daily News had another long and uneventful weekend. The Icemen of Long Island, the defending champions, were knocked off in the opening round by the Hornets of Hamilton, Ontario, 4-2. This is the second time in two years that the Icemen were knocked off in the opening round of this tournament.

The Icemen, however, did manage to score two goals in the third period, but it was too little too late. The Icemen were left with the feeling that they could have scored more goals in the final minutes of the game, but they couldn't manage to find the back of the net.

**New York Times**

**75 Wrestling Preview**

**Grapplers Pinned by Inexperience**

By ROSS FINGOLD

It is always refreshing to meet a match in which both teams are struggling with their own teams. In this year's match, it was a struggle for both teams to find their way to victory.

But, as they say, first things first. The Icemen dropped their first game of the season to the Hornets of Hamilton, Ontario, 4-2. The Icemen were left with the feeling that they could have scored more goals in the final minutes of the game, but they couldn't manage to find the back of the net.

The match was close, with the Icemen leading 2-0 after the first period. However, the Hornets rallied in the second period to tie the game at 2-2. In the third period, the Icemen managed to score two goals, but it was too little too late. The Icemen were left with the feeling that they could have scored more goals in the final minutes of the game, but they couldn't manage to find the back of the net.

The Icemen, however, did manage to score two goals in the third period, but it was too little too late. The Icemen were left with the feeling that they could have scored more goals in the final minutes of the game, but they couldn't manage to find the back of the net.